## Complexity Theory Problem Set 6

**1.** Describe a real number  $\rho$  such that a PTM that chooses  $\delta_0$  with probability  $\rho$  and  $\delta_1$  with probability  $1 - \rho$  can decide an undecidable language in (probabilistic) polynomial time.

**2.** Complexity class **BPL** is the set of languages that can be decided by a logspace PTM that gives the right answer with probability at least 2/3. Prove that **BPL**  $\subseteq$  **P**.

**3.** Prove that a language *L* is in **ZPP** iff there exists a polynomial-time PTM *M* with outputs  $\{0,1,?\}$  such that for every  $x \in \{0,1\}^*$ , with probability  $1, M(x) \in \{L(x),?\}$  and  $\Pr[M(x) = ?] \le 1/2$ .

**4.** Show that if  $NP \subseteq BPP$ , then NP = RP. (Use the idea of self-reducibility)

## **Solutions**

**1.** https://cstheory.stackexchange.com/questions/43831/how-to-use-a-coin-so-a-tm-can-decidean-undecidable-language-in-polynomial-ti

**2.** Let *M* be a **BPL** machine. We will design a polynomial time TM *M*' such that L(M) = L(M'). On input *x*, *M*' will first construct the configuration graph  $G_{M,x}$ .

For every  $v \in G_{M,x}$ . let prob(v) denote the probability of reaching an accepting configuration from v. M' computes the prob(v) for every vertex in the following way.

1) Set prob(v) = 1, if v is an accepting configuration and prob(v) = 0 if v is a rejecting configuration.

2) For every vertex v whose prob(v) is still not computed, set

 $prob(v) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot prob(v_1) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot prob(v_2)$ , if  $prob(v_1)$  and  $prob(v_2)$  are already

computed and there is an edge from v to both  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ .

3) Repeat 2 until prob(v) is computed for all  $v \in G_{M,x}$ .

In the end, M' accepts x if and only if  $prob(v_{init}) \ge \frac{2}{3}$ , where  $v_{init}$  is the initial configuration. The procedure to compute prob(v)s can easily be done by M' in time polynomial in  $|G_{M,x}|$  and since M is a BPL machine,  $|G_{M,x}|$  is also polynomial in the length of x.

**3.** Let's call the new class as **ZPP**'. **ZPP**'  $\subseteq$  **ZPP** can be proven by repeating the **ZPP**' machine inside a **ZPP** machine again and again until you get a non "?" output. The expected time of **ZPP** machine will clearly be polynomial. **ZPP**  $\subseteq$  **ZPP**' can be proven by running **ZPP** machine inside a **ZPP**' machine for 2*T* steps, where *T* is **ZPP** machine's expected time. Within 2*T* time if **ZPP** machine answers something, **ZPP**' machine will answer the same, else it will output "?". The probability analysis can be done using Markov's inequality.

**4.** https://cs.stackexchange.com/questions/80509/show-that-if-np-subseteq-bpp-then-also-np-rp-considerations-about-solution